Exhibit 3

Case 4:07-cv-05298-CW Document 28 Filed 12/03/2007 Page 2 of 2 In its opposition to Plaintiff's motion to remand, mBlox, Inc. presented evidence 1 2 establishing that the Court does have jurisdiction. This evidence was available to mBlox 3 when it removed, and should have been presented in its remand petition. Had mBlox done so, Plaintiff would have been saved the expense of this motion. Even now, the proper procedure 4 for mBlox to have followed was a motion to amend its petition¹, rather than in opposition to 5 this motion. Nevertheless, because mBlox has shown that a proper removal petition would 6 7 have established the Court's jurisdiction, Plaintiff hereby withdraws his motion to remand. 8 Respectfully submitted, 9 Dated: December 3, 2007 LAW OFFICES OF TERRY M. GORDON 10 11 12 13 By:/s/ Terry M. Gordon TERRY M. GORDON 14 One of the Attorneys for RUSSELL BRADBERRY, individually and on 15 behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 See, eg. Gafford v. General Elec. Co. (6th Cir. 1993) 997 F. 2d 150, 164. 27 28

Document 31-4

Filed 02/15/2008

Page 3 of 3

Case 1:08-cv-00416